

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/632,897	CHANG ET AL.	

  

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
BARBARA N. BURGESS	2457	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** RCE Amendment

(1) BARBARA N. BURGESS. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Pete Tormey. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 29 June 2009

**Time:** Afternoon

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1, 8, 16, 21, 22, 29

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Applicant's representative Pete Tormey to discuss amendment to place the application in condition for allowance. The amendments included language to overcome 101 and 112 issues. Examiner was given authorization to perform Examiner's Amendment. The Examiner's Amendment is submitted herewith..